

and other processes based on rotation decoupling was made possible for the first time according to the presently claimed invention.

Support for "at least one" is found on page 9, lines 17-20.

Support for new claims 50, 55, 66, 68, and 73 is found on page 6, lines 16-20.

No new matter has been added.

Claims 36-38 have been rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 for indefiniteness. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The Examiner noted that the phrase "which is not" had been inadvertently omitted when claim 36 was rewritten in the last amendment. Accordingly, claims 36-38 have been canceled and rewritten as new claims 39-41 to omit the phrase "which is not".

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection under the second

In view of the above amendments and remarks, favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application are requested. In the event that any issues remain, the Examiner is invited to telephone the office of the undersigned if it would expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

December 9, 1996
Date

FOLEY & LARDNER
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500
P.O. Box 25696
Washington, D.C. 20007-8696
(202) 672-5569



Stephen B. Maebius
Reg. No. 35,264